

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 10/085,172	02/27/2002		Steven Shawn Smith	010886.00670	1372	
22907	7590	12/21/2005		E	EXAMINER	
BANNER &			PENDL	PENDLETON, BRIAN T		
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON DC	20001	2644			

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Acr. 4 (1 A	10/085,172	SMITH, STEVEN SHAWN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian T. Pendleton	2644				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOYS TO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOYS TO STATE OF THE MAILING DEPOYS TO PROPERTY OF THE MAILING DEPOYS TO PERIOD OF THE MAILING DEPOYS THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	August 2005.					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-18 and 20-41</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	_						
7)							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or					
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 2004</u> is/a		ed to by the Examiner				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` '				
11)							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	prity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
A1							
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] [-A	(DTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(୮10-413) Ite				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/085,172

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that Maisano does not disclose superimpositioning a desired main beam with a steerable beam. However, it is clear from the reference that a second order cardioid is produced by the apparatus of figure 8. The signal formed by beamformer 32 is a first order cardioid. Thus, the signal output from unit 28 is a steerable first order beam itself, the beam being steerable by unit 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maisano, US Patent 6,766,029. Maisano discloses a system comprising transducers 2a, 2b, beamformer 32 for producing a fixed input beam, and algorithmic block comprising units 28 and 29' for producing a narrowed on-axis beamwidth by superpositioning the fixed input beam with the beam from transducer 2a phase shifted by shifter 29'. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5 are met.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 9, 14-16, 18, 20, 23-27, 29, 30, 34, 36-39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hoshuyama, US Patent 6,449,586. Hoshuyama discloses a microphone array system used to follow the movement of an interference signal and canceling

Art Unit: 2644

said signal from a target signal comprising transducers 1₀-1_{m-1} beamformer 2, blocking matrix 20 and multi-input canceller 31 as an algorithmic block for narrowing the on-axis bandwidth. An output signal is generated by adder 9.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 7, 21, 22, 31-33, 35, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshuyama. Hoshuyama does not disclose multiple desired main beams and narrowed multiple desired main beams. In re Harza 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 dictates that no patentable weight be assigned to a duplication of parts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide multiple desire main beams and narrowing them for the purpose of supplying a plurality of desired signals. Per claims 35 and 40, Examiner takes Official Notice that it was well known to accomplish signal processing in the analog or digital domain.

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshuyama in view of Ohkubo et al. Hoshuyama does not disclose multiple sound paths to the transducer wherein the multiple sound paths create multiple signals corresponding to the multiple sound paths and wherein the multiple sound paths create a phase shift in the multiple signals. Ohkubo

Application/Control Number: 10/085,172

Art Unit: 2644

discloses a microphone device in figure 12 having a transducer 25 with multiple sound paths 21, 22, and 23, multiple signals, and with a phase shift among the multiple signals. As disclosed in column 8 line 65 – column 9 line 2, it was possible to create a directional signal by using only one microphone. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the microphone device of Ohkubo for the plurality of microphones 30 in Hoshuyama for the purpose of picking up sound signals with directionality with less equipment. Claim 10 is met. Per claims 11-13, the sound paths 21-23 meet the limitations.

Claims 17 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshuyama in view of Marash. Hoshuyama does not disclose that the step of determining a location of a desired main is by multidimensional Fourier transforms. Marash discloses the use of multidimensional Fourier transforms to determine the "look" direction main beam. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the technique of Marash in the invention of Hoshuyama for the purpose of providing an improved main beam.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,172 Page 5

Art Unit: 2644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2644

32 Zon=

btp